

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE 06/25/2003		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/603,757			Takehiro Kanou	5258-000019	2165	
27572	7590	10/04/2004		EXAMINER		
HARNESS P.O. BOX 8	-	Y & PIERCE, P.L	CHAN, KO HUNG			
		S, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	 -	-,		3632		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					M
		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/603	,757	KANOU, TAKEHIRO	
	Office Action Summary	Exami	ner	Art Unit	
		Korie H	l. Chan	3632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu	nication appears on	the cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	is
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Fails Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN nsions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (b) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is ure to reply within the set or extended period for repreply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the s statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a reply b statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commu DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.
Status					
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	Responsive to communication(s) fit This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice.	2b) This action is for allowance exce	s non-final. ept for formal matters,	•	erits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the path or declaration is objected to the specific path of the specific path	e: a) accepted or ection to the drawing(s g the correction is req	s) be held in abeyance. uired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	` '
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[_ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	or documents have be documents have be of the priority documental Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in Applic ments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	ge
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/2004.		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		·)

Application/Control Number: 10/603,757

Art Unit: 3632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of claim 1 is vague and indefinite as it is not clear what assembly or system applicant is claiming. Further, claim 1, line 1, "vehicle-including" is vague. Claim 4, line 2, before "two", "said" should be inserted. Regarding claim 8, it is not clear whether the "first vehicle mounted unit" is the same vehicle mounted unit claimed in claim 1. Regarding claim 9, "an interior of a vehicle body" should be "said interior of said vehicle body". Further claim 9 is vague as to whether applicant is claiming the combination of the structure with the vehicle body.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 1-4, 7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Battig et al (US publication no. 20030106982). Battig discloses an installation structure comprising a vehicle mounted unit (10) including at least two brackets (12, figure 1c), a bolt through-hole (28, figure 2a) formed in each bracket, and the vehicle-mounted unit adapted to be installed in an interior of a vehicle body by inserting supporting bolts into the bolt through-holes and screwing a threaded-bore member (36, figure 3a) onto each of the supporting bolts, a slide passage (16, 26, figure 1c) in each of the brackets extending from an outer periphery of the bracket to the bolt through-hole to laterally guide the supporting bolt; and each of the slide passages formed so that the vehiclemounted unit can be detachable supported on each of the supporting bolts in a direction perpendicular to an axis of the supporting bolt; wherein an opening of one slide passage at an outer periphery of the one bracket faces in a direction different from an opening of the other slide passage at an outer periphery of the other bracket; wherein the slide passages are formed in straight-line configurations; wherein the vehicle-mounted unit is attached to a standing wall surface by two brackets, the one slide passage (26, figure 1c) is opened at a lower position in the one bracket, and the other slide passage (16, figure 1c) is opened at a side position of the other bracket; wherein the supporting bolts are stud bolts secured perpendicularly to a wall surface in an interior of a vehicle body.

Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ochi et al (US patent no. 4,945,272). Ochi discloses an installation structure having a vehicle mounted unit (5) including two brackets (21a, 21b) each with a slide passage (31a, 31c) extending from outer periphery of the bracket to a bolt through hole (31a,

Application/Control Number: 10/603,757

Art Unit: 3632

31c) for receiving a bolt wherein one slide passage opening faces a different direction to another slide passage opening and wherein the bracket is a thin plate-like configuration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5, 6, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battig et al (US publication no. 20030106982) in view of Welch (US patent no. 3,894,377). Battig disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for the slide passage inner periphery has resilient tabs at opposite side edges and a latch flange at the periphery of the bolt through-hole. Welch teaches a bracket (figure 5) for receiving a screw (30) wherein the bracket has a slide passage inner periphery with resilient tabs (66 and 68, figure 5) at opposite side edges and a latch flange (52, 78, figure 1) at the periphery of the bolt through-hole for engaging the shaft of the screw for locking the bracket to the screw. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modify the slide passageway and bolt through-hole periphery of Battig by providing resilient tabs and latch flange therein for locking the bracket with the bolt as taught by Welch.

Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Battig et al (US publication no. 20030106982). Battig disclosed all the claimed features of applicant's invention except for having a second vehicle mounted unit attached to the

Art Unit: 3632

surface opposite the first vehicle mounted unit. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to mount an additional vehicle-mounted unit opposite the first vehicle mounted unit. Such mounting of additional unit does not provide unexpected results.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining cited art of record shows slide passages in mounting brackets for receiving bolts and screws.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Korie H. Chan whose telephone number is 703-305-8079. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached on 703-308-2156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Korie H. Chan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

khc

September 28, 2004